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Announcements

Last workshop today
Send ideas for topics next year – Christian will reach out to you
Faculty Social Hour – April 21\textsuperscript{st} 3-4:30
Teenie returning to faculty
Thank you
What if Professor X wants to discuss retention?

an offer for a position at another university
  they already have an offer in hand
  they are negotiating
an invitation to apply from another university
  special, targeted invitation
  generic
thinking about going on the job market
Your role? What should you do to help?

Communicate with the Dean
Offer guidance and support
Help the person work with school staff and the Dean
Encourage the person to stay!
Respect confidentiality (including past retentions of others)

Anything else?
Confidentiality

APM 160 Appendix A

The University must, in the appointment, advancement, and retention of academic personnel, make informed and objective judgments about their qualifications and performance...

All who participate in the multi-tiered academic personnel review process in the University will best be able to perform their functions [given] the degree of confidentiality set forth in APM 220.

Like other AP discussions and work, keep things confidential
Senate faculty rarely leave

The University is typically responsive when retention needs arise

More and more attention is going to retention, with some focus on retaining URM faculty and women in STEM – our campus is on the front end with a recent AFD grant
Enhancing Faculty Retention: Developing a systematic process

• Is faculty a “must” or “would like to” retain member? Who decides?
• What is the potential impact on the unit?
• What type of external program/institution counts?
• Who should be looped into retention discussions and when?
• How many retention offers are allowed per faculty member?
• Under what conditions should a preemptive retention offer be considered?
• What potential biases might influence process/decision-making?
• When to start the conversation with the faculty member?
  • “The reactive strategy of waiting for faculty to bring in outside offer both lowers morale & encourages faculty to seek external employment opportunities.” (U. of Wash Retention Guide)
• What information can be made transparent and shared to faculty community?
Scenario: A faculty member who is thinking about leaving might consider...

- Starting an early discussion with Chair
  - They might simultaneously communicate plans with Dean or senior colleague
- Seeking an offer from a variety of institutions
- Accepting or declining external offers, regardless of original plan
- That home institutions tend to “gauge” seriousness before committing to retention efforts
- That home institutions may or may not offer preemptive retentions
- Leaving due to...
  - Resources (salary, rank, students, research funds, space)
  - Limited Career Advancement (opportunities for leadership?)
  - Climate (mission, affiliation, location, perceived sense of belonging, DEI)
## Barriers to Retention and Strategies to Improve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Racial/Gender Isolation</td>
<td>• Create Networking Opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Affinity Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Express commitment to DEI in word and deed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Mentoring</td>
<td>• Establish Mentoring Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dept Chairs can also act as mentors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Create opportunities for faculty to meet/interact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Stress</td>
<td>• Check workload imbalances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provide adequate resources and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consider cost of living and make adjustments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devaluation of “Minority” research</td>
<td>• Recognize and reward different fields and methods of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of a “Token Hire”</td>
<td>• Provide professional development to all faculty on cultural sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Establish flexible and accommodating policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias in T&amp;P process</td>
<td>• Make expectations and policies clear, transparent, and available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Monitor decision-making processes and outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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