

2054: MERIT, PROMOTION, APPRAISAL REVIEW

A. OVERVIEW

The academic advancement processes for Lecturers/Senior Lecturers PSOE/SOE follow procedurally those detailed for the Professor series in **MAPP 2014**, including use of the Short Form and negative review outcomes. Lecturers in this series are guaranteed the same rights as ladder-rank faculty, as codified in the **Procedural Safeguard Statement**. Certain details particular to the Lecturer SOE series are recorded here.

Lecturers with Potential for Security of Employment (LPSOEs) are subject to academic review for reappointment and potential advancement every two years. Reappointments are for a two-year term; however, an LPSOE may be reappointed without a promotion or advancement (**APM 285-8.c**). Similar to the Professorial series, in the fourth year of appointment a comprehensive review known as a Mid-Career Appraisal (MCA) is conducted to assess an LPSOE’s potential for promotion to Lecturer with Security of Employment (LSOE). The MCA for the Lecturer series will be conducted with the same degree of rigor used in evaluating ladder-rank faculty, modified appropriately to address the requirements of this series (see **MAPP Appendix 2014-A**). Review for promotion to Lecturer SOE will normally occur during the seventh year of appointment as LPSOE.

*[Note: Per **APM 133-0.b**, service in certain titles other than Lecturer/Senior Lecturer PSOE on any University of California campus counts toward the eight-year limit or “clock” for LPSOEs. These titles include Unit 18 Lecturers, Assistant Professors, Acting Professors, and Visiting Professors.]*

Review and Appraisal Schedule for LPSOE/SOE Series	
Title and Action	Year
LPSOE	
Appointment	0
Reappointment and Potential Merit	2
Reappointment, Potential Merit and MCA	4
Reappointment, Potential Merit	6
Promotion Review	7
LSOE	
Normal Merit Review	every 2-3 years
Promotion Review*	6
Senior LSOE	
Normal Merit Review	every 3-4 years**

*Promotion to Senior LSOE is not normally expected, but may occur when warranted. A Lecturer SOE will become eligible for promotion after not less than six years of service as Lecturer SOE.

**Senior LSOEs should normally be reviewed every three years, until they have reached a salary level equivalent to Professor Step V, after which reviews will not occur after less than four years.

LECTURERS WITH SECURITY OF EMPLOYMENT (LPSOE & LSOE)

Lecturers/Senior Lecturers SOE may choose to defer review, but they are subject to the same quinquennial review requirements as faculty in the professorial series. (APM 200-0). Lecturers PSOE may not defer.

B. CRITERIA/DOCUMENTATION

The three criteria required for appointment to the Lecturer SOE series, described in MAPP 2053 above, also apply to all advancement actions. Salary advancement in this series will be based on demonstrated growth in the value of services the candidate provides; it is recognized that this rate of growth will be more variable, and in some cases slower, than for those in Professorial positions (APM 285-18). What follows is guidance as to the types of evidence that may be submitted with the case file and/or analyzed in the Case Analysis, Transmittal Memo, and Dean's Recommendation to support an advancement proposal.

Teaching:

Teaching is the primary area of review in the Lecturer SOE series. Documentation of teaching should include an accounting of the candidate's teaching load for the review period with all available teaching evaluations. Teaching activities may include instruction-related activities such as conducting training, supervision of Teaching Assistants or Unit 18 Lecturers, course development and/or revision, curricular planning, directing or participating in graduate student dissertation work (if allowed by the graduate group's bylaws), directing reading groups, seminar and symposium presentations, independent study endeavors, as well as the writing of textbooks and software. Other significant types of evidence **may** include:

- Analysis of course materials such as the syllabus and reading lists, a description of the course and its goals, and a self-statement on the achievement of these goals by the candidate.
- Information about time spent on supervision and mentoring of peers or students, leading non-credit bearing educational programs, being available to and guiding students outside class, preparing for classes, undertaking courses not taught before, and improving instructional methods. Opinions of colleagues, particularly if based on class visits, observations of lectures, or knowledge of student performance in courses subsequent to those taught by the candidate.
- Opinions of current and former students, including opinions of graduates who have achieved notable professional success.
- Information about the reception of lectures given by the candidate before professional or learned societies.
- Documentation of any teaching awards received.
- Input from colleagues in team-teaching situations.
- Evidence of attention to student learning/learning outcomes.

UC Merced neither expects nor requires graduate-level mentoring and teaching for merit or promotion in this series.

[Note: Individuals asked to provide opinions on teaching should be solicited in writing and provided the University's Confidentiality Statement.]

Professional Competence and Activity:

The candidate's professional activities should be reviewed for evidence of achievement and leadership in the field and of demonstrated innovation in the development or utilization of new approaches and techniques for the solution of professional problems. Evidence may include documentation of such activities as:

- Making presentations of teaching improvements at professional conferences.
- Election to significant offices of professional or learned societies.
- Invitations to lecture, present papers, etc.
- Awards, grants or honors bestowed by organizations or foundations.
- Requests for consultative service.
- Publication of works related to pedagogy or in the candidate's field of discipline.

University and Public Service:

Academic appointees play an important role in the administration of the University and the formulation of its policies. Consideration should therefore be given to whether candidates are participating effectively and imaginatively in faculty government, University committees, and the development of department, School, campus, and University policies. Services to the community, state, and nation are also to be recognized.

Documentary evidence may include such activities as:

- Service in department, Academic Senate, and administrative capacities (including committee service).
- Contributions to student welfare through service on student-faculty committees and as advisors to student organizations.
- Activities related to the improvement of elementary and secondary education.
- Appointment or election to office in a professional organization, on a professional publication, or within a community, state, national, or international organization.
- Requests to edit or review for professional journals.